

Path Integrals and Lower Bounds for Density Matrices

Eugene P. Gross¹

Received October 6, 1978

Feynman has established a variational principle for the coordinate space representation of the canonical density matrix. It uses real trial actions in place of the actual real action. This principle is extended by dividing the original temperature interval, using matrix multiplication, and trial actions that depend on the end points. The result is a series of better lower bounds. A detailed analysis is made of the soluble harmonic oscillator case using free particle and mean path trial actions.

KEY WORDS: Path integrals; density matrices; lower bounds.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the problem of obtaining lower bounds for the equilibrium density matrix. The point of view is that of Wiener path integrals.^(1,2) They are particularly useful in this type of problem, yielding results that are difficult to obtain with conventional quantum mechanics. The approach is developed for the special case of a one-dimensional particle moving in a time-independent potential. Actually these considerations are of much wider validity. They arose in studies^(3,4) with multitime actions for polarons, electrons in random potentials, and polymer statistics. The application of the present ideas to these more complicated cases will be described elsewhere.

The starting point is the path integral representation of the density matrix

$$\rho(x_1 x_2 | \beta) = \int_{x(0)=x_2}^{x(\beta)=x_1} \mathcal{D}x \exp[-S], \quad S = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta \dot{x}^2 du + \int_0^\beta V(x(u)) du \quad (1)$$

The basic tool in the analysis of this Wiener integral is the Jensen (convexity) inequality as used by Feynman. Let S_0 and S be real actions, and introduce the weight function

$$W_0 = \exp[-S_0]/r_0, \quad r_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta) = \int_{x(0)=x_2}^{x(\beta)=x_1} \mathcal{D}x \exp[-S_0] \quad (2)$$

Work supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

¹ Martin Fisher School of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts.

Then

$$\rho(x_1 x_2 | \beta) \geq r_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta) \exp[-\langle S - S_0 \rangle_{w_0}] \quad (3)$$

where²

$$\langle S - S_0 \rangle_{w_0} = \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}x W_0(S - S_0) / r_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta) \quad (4)$$

The simplest choice of S_0 is the free particle action, which leads to

$$\rho(x_1 x_2 | \beta) \geq \rho_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta) \exp[-\Lambda_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta)] \quad (5)$$

$$\rho_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta) = (2\pi\beta)^{-1/2} \exp[-(x_1 - x_2)^2 / 2\beta] \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Lambda_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta) = \int V(\eta) Q(\eta | \beta | x_1 x_2) d\eta$$

$$Q(\eta | \beta | x_1 x_2) = \int_0^\beta dt \rho_0(x_1 \eta | \beta - t) \rho_0(\eta x_2 | t) / \rho_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta)$$

This can be made the start of a cumulant analysis, which is a useful form of statistical mechanical perturbation theory. However, the lower bound property is lost. One can obtain more accurate bounds by matrix multiplication, since each factor refers to a shorter "time" interval. For example,

$$\rho(x_1 x_2 | \beta) = \int \rho(x_1 y | \beta/2) dy \rho(y x_2 | \beta/2) \quad (7)$$

since the density matrix depends only on differences of the β interval.

Using the free action bound for each half interval, we find

$$\rho(x_1 x_2 | \beta) \geq \int dy \rho_0(x_1 y | \beta/2) \rho_0(y x_2 | \beta/2) \times \exp\{-[\Lambda_0(x_1 y | \beta/2) + \Lambda_0(y x_2 | \beta/2)]\} \quad (8)$$

We show explicitly that this is better than the free action bound. Let

$$W_0(x_1 x_2 | y | \beta) = \rho_0(x_1 y | \beta/2) \rho_0(y x_2 | \beta/2) / \rho_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta) \quad (9)$$

be a weight function for the y integration, with fixed values of x_1 and x_2 . Application of the convexity inequality then leads to the free action bound. The key step in the proof is

$$\int dy W_0(x_1 x_2 | y | \beta) \Lambda_0(x_1 y | \beta/2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho_0(x_1 x_2 | \beta)} \int_0^{\beta/2} dt \int V(\eta) d\eta \rho_0\left(x_1 \eta \left| \frac{\beta}{2} - t \right.\right) \rho_0\left(\eta x_2 \left| \frac{\beta}{2} + t \right.\right) \quad (10)$$

² I am indebted to a referee for pointing out that Jensen's inequality has also been used to get upper bounds on the density matrix; cf. Refs. 6-8. An alternate approach to the problem of lower bounds is found in Ref. 9.

where one uses

$$\int dy \rho_0(yx_2|\beta/2)\rho_0(\eta y|t) = \rho_0(x_2\eta|\frac{1}{2}\beta + t) \quad (11)$$

The second term in the exponent gives the contribution of the other half of the β interval.

For weak potentials one can do the y integration by a cumulant analysis. This shows that the duplication of the free action contains some (but not all) of the higher order perturbation corrections. In compensation, the integrals are simpler than the perturbation formulas. The usefulness of the duplication trick has been pointed out by Miller⁽⁵⁾ in connection with a classical path treatment of the diagonal elements of the density matrix. We are exploiting the lower bound feature for the off-diagonal elements and work with simple actions so that the subdivision is feasible.

Using intervals of magnitude β/W with $N - 1$ integration variables y, \dots, y_{N-1} , we have ($y_0 \equiv x_1, y_N \equiv x_2$)

$$\rho(x_1x_2|\beta) > \int \prod_j \rho_0(y_j - y_{j-1}|\beta/N) \exp\left[-\sum_{j=1}^N \Lambda_0(y_{j-1}, y_j|\beta/N)\right] \quad (12)$$

In fact, if the simple free action bound is derived from ordinary quantum mechanics, one has an approach to the construction of the path integral itself. The sequence y_1, \dots, y_{N-1} represents a discrete path. The potential is averaged over a small region for each y_j . The case of many intermediate steps is analyzed in some detail for the harmonic oscillator in Section 3.

The price one pays for the improved bounds lies in the extra y_i integrations. To obtain practical but less accurate bounds one has the flexibility of introducing tractable weight functions $W(y_1 \dots y_{N-1}|x_1x_2|\beta)$ containing parameters. The convexity argument is used to find a bound and the parameters are determined by variational considerations. One can then continue with a cumulant analysis of the multiple integral. If there is a classical path for the original path integral of interval β , this should lead to a preferred set of y_j with a fine enough subdivision. Thus far we have only used the free action S_0 to generate an approximate $\rho(x_1x_2|\beta/N)$. This S_0 does not depend directly on the end points x_1, x_2 . Clearly, one can consider trial actions of a more general form that depend parametrically on x_1, x_2 . This leads to approximations alien to Hamiltonian approaches. This idea can be combined with the subdivision technique. In the next section we examine a mean path action suggested by Feynman and Hibbs. One obtains a bound for each interval that is superior to the free action bound. In Section 3 it is used in a subdivision analysis for the harmonic oscillator.

2. MEAN PATH ACTION

We evaluate the density matrix $\rho(x_1, x_2 | \beta)$ using a trial action suggested by Feynman and Hibbs. We obtain a better bound for the partition function by bounding the density matrix. The trial action is

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta \dot{x}^2 du + \beta w(\bar{x} | \beta | x_1, x_2), \quad \bar{x} = \int_0^\beta x(u) du / \beta \quad (13)$$

It is easy to find the optimal form for $w(\bar{x} | \beta | x_1, x_2)$. We emphasize that w depends on the end points x_1, x_2 (as well as on β). This action is an example of a typical path integral type of approach. It organizes paths according to their mean position. Paths with the same mean position are assigned the same weight except for the different kinetic energy contributions.

Using a weight W_0 associated with S_0 , we have

$$W_0(x_1, x_2 | \beta) = \exp[-S_0(x_1, x_2 | \beta)] / \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}x \exp[-S_0] \quad (14)$$

Write the denominator as

$$\int_{x_2}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}x \exp[-S_0] = \int d\xi G(\xi | \beta | x_1, x_2) \exp[-\beta w(\xi | \beta | x_1, x_2)] \quad (15)$$

where

$$G(\xi | \beta | x_1, x_2) = \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}x \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta \dot{x}^2 du\right] \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \quad (16)$$

This path integral has the explicit form

$$G(\xi | \beta | x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{12} \rho_0(x_1, x_2 | \beta) \exp\left[-\frac{6}{\beta} \left(\xi - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right)^2\right] \quad (17)$$

We also need the path integral

$$\begin{aligned} T(\xi | \beta | x_1, x_2) &= \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}x \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta \dot{x}^2 du\right] \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \int_0^\beta V(x(u)) du \\ &\equiv \int V(\eta) R(\xi | \eta | \beta | x_1, x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The function R is listed in the Appendix.

A functional variation with respect to $w(\xi | \beta | x_1, x_2)$ leads to the simple result

$$\rho(x_1, x_2 | \beta) \geq \int d\xi G(\xi | \beta | x_1, x_2) \exp[-\beta w(\xi | \beta | x_1, x_2)] \quad (19)$$

Here

$$w(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2) = T(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2)/G(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2) \tag{20}$$

This yields a better bound than using the free action. To recover the latter result, use a weight

$$p(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2) = G(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2)/\rho_0(x_1x_2|\beta) \tag{21}$$

The convexity bound applied to the ξ integration then yields

$$\rho(x_1x_2|\beta) \geq \rho_0(x_1x_2|\beta) \exp\left[-\beta \int p(\xi)w(\xi) d\xi\right] \tag{22}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int p(\xi)w(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int T(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2) d\xi/\rho_0(x_1x_2|\beta) \\ &= \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \mathcal{D}x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta \dot{x}^2 du\right) \int_0^\beta V(x(u)) du/\rho_0(x_1x_2|\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

this is the free action result. One could improve this (at the cost of losing the bound property) by making a cumulant analysis for the ξ integration. The mean path action picks up some (but not all) of the V^2 corrections.

To obtain results for strong potentials, one should do the ξ integration exactly. This may be difficult. To obtain approximate bounds, introduce a weight function

$$p_0(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2) = \exp[-\beta w_0(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2)] G(\xi|\beta | x_1x_2)/K_0(x_1x_2|\beta) \tag{24}$$

where

$$K_0(x_1x_2|\beta) = \int d\xi_1 G(\xi_1) \exp[-\beta w_0(\xi_1)]$$

Write

$$\rho(x_1x_2|\beta) \geq \int K_0(x_1x_2|\beta) \int d\xi p_0 \exp[\beta(w_0 - w)] \tag{25}$$

and apply the convexity bound using $p_0(\xi)$. This yields

$$\rho(x_1x_2|\beta) \geq K_0(x_1x_2|\beta) \exp\left\{\beta \int (w_0G - T) \exp(-\beta w_0) d\xi\right\} \tag{26}$$

Thus, for this case, the procedure of taking an approximate weight function is equivalent to using a trial action $w_0(\bar{x}|\beta | x_1x_2)$ in place of the optimal $w(\bar{x}|\beta | x_1x_2)$.

Let us consider the evaluation of the partition function. The mean path bound is

$$Z(\beta) \geq \int dx_1 \int d\xi G(\xi|\beta | x_1 x_1) \exp[-w(\xi|\beta | x_1 x_1)] \quad (27)$$

If the potential has continuum states, the integration over x_1 leads to contributions that depend on the size of the system. Consider the case (such as a harmonic oscillator) where there are only bound states. We exhibit the relation to the way Feynman and Hibbs use their mean path action. Their result is obtained by doing the x_1 integration first, using the convexity bound, with a weight function

$$p(\xi|x_1) = G(\xi|x_1)/I_0, \quad I_0 = \int G(\xi|x_2) dx_2 = (2\pi\beta)^{-1/2} \quad (28)$$

This of course weakens the bound. We find

$$Z > I_0 \int d\xi \exp\left[-I_0^{-1} \int_0^0 D_\beta y \int_0^\beta V(y(u) + \xi - \bar{y}) du\right] \quad (29)$$

which is the Feynman–Hibbs result.

The subdivision technique may of course be applied together with the mean path bound. From Eq. (19) one sees that there are ξ_j integrations along with the y_j integrations of matrix multiplication.

3. APPLICATION TO THE HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

To obtain a clearer idea of what the improved bounds are like, consider the exactly soluble case of a harmonic oscillator of unit mass and angular frequency ω_0 . We use units where $\hbar = 1$ and measure lengths in terms of the thermal de Broglie length $1/\sqrt{\beta}$. Then $\omega \equiv \omega_0\beta$ and the “time” unit is 1. The exact density matrix for N units is

$$\rho(x_1 x_2 N) = \bar{F}_N(\omega) \exp[-\bar{\alpha}_N(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \bar{B}_N x_1 x_2] \quad (30)$$

where

$$\bar{F}_N = \left(\frac{\omega}{2 \sinh \omega N}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_N = \frac{\omega}{2} \coth \omega N, \quad \bar{B}_N = \omega \operatorname{csch} \omega N \quad (31)$$

The partition function is

$$\bar{Z}_N(\omega) = (2 \sinh \frac{1}{2}\omega N)^{-1} = e^{-\omega N/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-jN\omega} \quad (32)$$

The bar indicates that we deal with the exact result.

It is easy to show that both the free action and mean path action lead to density matrices of the above form with approximate values of α_N , B_N , $F_N(\omega)$. We are interested in studying the effect of subdividing a given ω by $N - 1$ intermediate steps. Introduce

$$B_N^*(\omega) = NB_N(\omega/N), \quad \alpha_N^* = N\alpha_N(\omega/N) \quad (33)$$

For the exact solution $\bar{B}_N^*(\omega) = \bar{B}_1(\omega)$ and $\bar{\alpha}_N^* = \bar{\alpha}_1(\omega)$. For the approximate density matrices we see how close $B_N^*(\omega)$ comes to $B_1(\omega)$.

For a density matrix of the above form one has the recurrence formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{N+1} &= \alpha_N - [B_N^2/4(\alpha_N + \alpha_1)], & B_{N+1} &= B_N B_1/2(\alpha_N + \alpha_1) \\ F_{N+1} &= F_N F_1 \pi^{1/2}/(\alpha_N + \alpha)^{1/2}, & Z_N &= \pi^{1/2} F_N/(2\alpha_N - B_N)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

To reduce this to a convenient form, introduce

$$A_N = \alpha_N + \alpha_1, \quad \xi_N = 2A_N/B_N \quad (36)$$

Then

$$\beta_{N+1} = B/\xi_N, \quad A_{N+1} = A_N(1 - 1/\xi_N^2) \quad (37)$$

The ξ_N generate all of the desired quantities. They obey the two-term recurrence relation

$$\xi_{N+1} = (\xi_N^2 - 1)/\xi_{N-1}, \quad \xi_0 = 1, \quad \xi_1 = 2A_1/B_1 \quad (38)$$

The first few values are

$$\xi_2 = \xi_1^2 - 1, \quad \xi_3 = \xi_1(\xi_1^2 - 2), \quad \xi_4 = [\xi_1^2(\xi_1^2 - 2)^2 - 1]/(\xi_1^2 - 1) \quad (39)$$

The starting point for the free action bound is

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \omega^2/3), \quad B = 1 - \omega^2/6 \quad (40)$$

The exact \bar{B}_1 is positive and lies between one and zero. The free action bound gives a negative B_1 for $\omega > \sqrt{6}$. The A_N^* and B_N^* are ratios of polynomials in ω^2 . For small ω the exact expansion is

$$\bar{B}^{-1} = (\sinh \omega)/\omega = \{1 + \omega^2/6 + \delta\omega^4/36 + \dots\}, \quad \delta = 3/10 \quad (41)$$

The subdivisions with free action bounds yield the sequence

$$B_N^{*-1} = \{1 + \omega^2/6 + \delta_N^* \omega^4/36 + \dots\} \quad (42)$$

The coefficient of ω^2 is exact in every approximation. We find

$$\delta_1^* = 1, \quad \delta_2^* = 7/16 = 0.44, \quad \delta_3^* = 29/81 = 0.36, \quad \delta_4^* = 85/256 = 0.33 \quad (43)$$

approaching $\delta = 0.30$.

The starting point of the mean path theory is

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2}{3} - \frac{\omega^4}{48} \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2/10} \right), \quad B_1 = 1 - \frac{\omega^2}{6} + \frac{\omega^4}{48} \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2/10} \quad (44)$$

B_1 remains positive until $\omega \sim \sqrt{20}$. We find the rapid convergence

$$\delta_1^* = 1/4 = 0.25, \quad \delta_2^* = 19/64 = 0.298 \quad (45)$$

There are corresponding results for the partition function.

We define

$$Z_N(\omega) = Z_N(\omega/N) \quad (46)$$

which equals $Z_1(\omega)$ for the exact solution. This is

$$\bar{Z}_N(\omega) = 1/[2 \sinh(\omega N/2)] \quad (47)$$

$$\bar{Z}_1(\omega) \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \{ 1 - \omega^2/24 + \omega^4(7/360 \cdot 16) + \dots \} \quad (48)$$

The free particle action starts with

$$F_1 = [\exp(-\omega^2/12)]/(2\pi)^{1/2} \quad (49)$$

$$Z_1(\omega) = \exp(-\omega^2/12)/\omega \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \{ 1 - \omega^2/12 + \omega^4/2(12)^2 + \dots \} \quad (50)$$

The free particle action is wrong by a factor of 2 for the coefficient of ω^2 . This can be traced to the fact that one needs $2\alpha_1 - B_1$ correct to order ω^4 in order to obtain $Z_1(\omega)$ to order ω^4 . Bisection of the free particle action yields

$$Z_2 = \exp(-\omega^2/24)/\omega(1 + \omega^2/48)^{1/2} \quad (51)$$

$$\rightarrow \omega^{-1} \{ 1 - \frac{5}{4}\omega^2/24 + 1.5 \times 10^{-3}\omega^4 + \dots \} \quad (52)$$

which exhibits the improvement in the ω^2 coefficient.

The mean path action starts with

$$F_1(\omega) = [\exp(-\omega^2/30)]/(2\pi)^{1/2}(1 + \omega^2/10)^{1/2} \quad (53)$$

$$Z_1(\omega) = \exp(-\omega^2/30)/\omega(1 + \omega^2/60)^{1/2} \quad (54)$$

$$\rightarrow \omega^{-1} \{ 1 - \omega^2/24 + \omega^4(3/3200) + \dots \} \quad (55)$$

The coefficient of ω^2 is correct. The coefficient of ω^4 is 9.4×10^{-4} . The exact coefficient is 12.1×10^{-4} . Bisection of the interval leads to

$$Z_2^*(\omega) = \exp(-\omega^2/60)/\omega[(1 + \omega^2/40)(1 + \omega^2/48)(1 + \omega^2/240)]^{1/2} \quad (56)$$

The coefficient of ω^4 is now 11.2×10^{-4} .

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that a series of lower bounds may be obtained by bounding the off-diagonal coordinate space elements of the density matrix and using matrix multiplication to divide the original interval. The accuracy of the bounds is of course contingent on the choice of the trial action, which in general depends parametrically on the end points. In particular the actions used here are not powerful enough to describe the discrete state structure (cf. the example of the harmonic oscillator). However, the technique can be extended to classical path approximations, as has been pointed out by Miller.⁽⁵⁾

APPENDIX. LIST OF PATH INTEGRALS

The interval is 0 to β and $\bar{x} = \beta^{-1} \int_0^\beta x(u) du$. We use the abbreviations

$$Dx = \mathcal{D}x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta \dot{x}^2 du\right), \quad l_0 = (2\pi\beta)^{-1/2}, \quad s = (t/\beta)(1 - t/\beta)$$

We have

$$F_0(k|\beta) = \int_0^0 Dx \exp(ik\bar{x}) = l_0 \exp(-k^2\beta/24) \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$G_0(k|\beta) = \int_0^0 Dx \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) = \sqrt{12} l_0^2 \exp(-6\xi^2/\beta) \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$H_0(k|\beta) = \int_0^0 Dx \exp[-i\lambda x(t)] = l_0 \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\beta s) \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$I_0(\eta, t|\beta) = \int_0^0 Dx \delta(x(t) - \eta) = l_0^2 s^{-1/2} \exp(-\eta^2/2s) \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(k|\lambda, t|\beta) &= \int_0^0 Dx \exp[ik\bar{x} - i\lambda x(t)] \\ &= l_0 \exp[-(k^2\beta/24) - (\lambda^2\beta s/2) + k\lambda\beta s/2] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\xi|\lambda, t|\beta) &= \int_0^0 Dx \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \exp[-i\lambda x(t)] \\ &= \sqrt{12} l_0^2 \exp\left[-\frac{\lambda^2\beta s}{2} - \frac{6}{\beta} \left(\xi - i\frac{\lambda\sqrt{\beta}}{2}s\right)^2\right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\xi|\eta, t|\beta) &= \int_0^0 Dx \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \delta(x(t) - \eta) \\ &= \sqrt{12} l_0^3 [s(1 - 3s)]^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left[-\frac{6\xi}{\beta} - \frac{1}{2\beta} \frac{(\eta + 6\xi s)^2}{s(1 - 3s)}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_0(k|\eta, t|\beta) &= \int_0^0 Dx \delta(x(t) - \eta) \exp(ik\bar{x}) \\
 &= l_0^2 s^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{k^2}{24} + \frac{1}{2}\beta s \left(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{i\eta}{\beta s}\right)^2\right] \quad (A8)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(k|\beta | x_1 x_2) &= \int_{x(0)=x_2}^{x(\beta)=x_1} Dx \exp(ik\bar{x}) \\
 &= \exp[-k^2\beta/24 + ik(x_1 + x_2)/2] \rho_0(x_1 x_2|\beta) \quad (A9)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(\xi|\beta | x_1 x_2) &= \sqrt{12} l_0 \rho_0(x_1 x_2|\beta) \\
 &\quad \times \exp\{-(6/\beta)[\xi - (x_1 + x_2)/2]^2\} \quad (A10)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\lambda, t|\beta | x_1 x_2) &= \rho_0(x_1 x_2|\beta) \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\beta s \\
 &\quad - i\lambda[x_2 + (t/\beta)(x_1 - x_2)]\} \quad (A11)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\eta, t|\beta | x_1 x_2) &= l_0 s^{-1/2} \rho_0(x_1 x_2|\beta) \\
 &\quad \times \exp\{-(1/2\beta s)[\eta - x_2 - (t/\beta)(x_1 - x_2)]^2\} \quad (A12)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(k|\lambda, t|\beta | x_1 x_2) &= \rho_0(x_1 x_2|\beta) \exp\left[-\frac{k^2\beta}{24} - \frac{\lambda^2\beta s}{2} + \frac{k\lambda\beta s}{2}\right] \\
 &\quad \times \exp\left\{ik \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - i\lambda\left[x_2 + \frac{t}{\beta}(x_1 - x_2)\right]\right\} \quad (A13)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\xi|\lambda, t|\beta | x_1 x_2) &= \sqrt{12} l_0 \rho_0(x_1 x_2|\beta) \\
 &\quad \times \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda^2\beta s}{2} - \frac{6}{\beta}\left[\xi - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - i\lambda \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{2} s\right]^2\right\} \\
 &\quad \times \exp\left\{-i\lambda\left[x_2 + \frac{t}{\beta}(x_1 - x_2)\right]\right\} \quad (A14)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\xi|\eta, t|\beta | x_1 x_2) &= \sqrt{12} l_0^2 \rho_0(x_1 x_2|\beta) [s(1 - 3s)]^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad \times \exp\{-(6/\beta)[\xi - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)]^2\} \\
 &\quad \times \exp\{-[\eta - x_2 - (t/\beta)(x_1 - x_2) \\
 &\quad + 6s\xi - 6s(x_1 + x_2)/2]^2 [2\beta s(1 - 3s)]^{-1}\} \\
 &= L_0(\xi - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)|\eta - x_2 - (t/\beta)(x_1 - x_2), t|\beta) \\
 &\quad \times \exp[-(x_1 - x_2)^2/2\beta] \quad (A15)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$M(k|\eta, t|\beta | x_1 x_2) = M_0(k|\eta - x_2 - (t/\beta)(x_1 - x_2), t|\beta) \quad (A16)$$

The average of the potential

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^0 Dx \int_0^\beta V(x(t)) dt \\ &= \int V(\eta) d\eta \int_0^0 \beta x \int_0^\beta \delta(x(t) - \eta) dt \\ &= \int V(\eta) d\eta \int_0^\beta I_0(\eta, t|\beta) dt = \int V(\eta) Q_0(\eta|\beta) d\eta \end{aligned} \tag{A17}$$

with

$$Q_0(\eta|\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \int_0^\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \exp \frac{-\eta^2}{2\beta s} dt, \quad s = \frac{t}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{t}{\beta}\right)$$

and

$$\int_x^{x_1} Dx \int_0^\beta V(x(t)) dt = \int V(\eta) d\eta Q_0(\eta - x_1|\beta) \tag{A18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_2}^{x_1} Dx \int_0^\beta V(x(t)) dt \\ &= \int V(\eta) d\eta \int_0^\beta dt \int_{x_2}^{x_1} Dx \delta(x(t) - \eta) \\ &= \int V(\eta) d\eta \int_0^\beta dt I(\eta, t|\beta | x_1 x_2) Q(\eta|\beta | x_1 x_2) \end{aligned} \tag{A19}$$

For the ξ -dependent part

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^0 Dx \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \int_0^\beta V(x(t)) dt \\ &= \int V(\eta) d\eta \int_0^\beta dt L_0(\xi|\eta, t|\beta) \\ &\equiv \int V(\eta) d\eta R_0(\xi|\eta|\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{A20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_1}^{x_1} Dx \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \int_0^\beta V(x(t)) dt \\ &= \int V(\eta) d\eta R_0(\xi - x_1|\eta - x_1|\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{A21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_2}^{x_1} Dx \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \int_0^\beta V(x(t)) dt \\ &= \int V(\eta) d\eta R(\xi|\eta|\beta | x_1 x_2) \end{aligned} \tag{A22}$$

with

$$R(\xi|\eta|\beta| |x_1x_2) = \int_0^\beta L(\xi|\eta, t|\beta| |x_1x_2) dt$$

Path Integrals for the Harmonic Oscillator

$$\int_0^\beta Dx \int_0^\beta \frac{x^2(t) dt}{2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\beta)^{1/2}} \frac{\beta^2}{12} \quad (\text{A23})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} Dx \int_0^\beta \frac{x^2(t) dt}{2} \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi\beta)^{1/2}} \left\{ \exp\left[-\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{2\beta}\right] \right\} \frac{\beta^2}{12} \left[1 + \frac{6x_1x_2}{\beta} + \frac{2}{\beta}(x_1 - x_2)^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A24})$$

$$\int_0^\beta Dx \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \int_0^\beta \frac{x^2(t) dt}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{2\pi} \beta \left(\frac{1}{30} + \frac{3\xi^2}{5\beta} \right) \exp \frac{-6\xi^2}{\beta} \quad (\text{A25})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_1}^{x_1} Dx \delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \int_0^\beta \frac{x^2(t) dt}{2} \\ = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{2\pi} \beta \left[\frac{1}{30} + \frac{3}{5} \frac{(\xi - x_1)^2}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x_1^2}{\beta} + \frac{x_1(\xi - x_1)}{\beta} \right] \exp \frac{-6(\xi - x_1)^2}{\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A26})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} Dx (\delta(\bar{x} - \xi) \int_0^\beta \frac{x^2(t) dt}{2}) \\ = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{2\pi} \beta \left[\frac{1}{30} + \frac{3}{5\beta} \left(\xi - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2\beta} \left(\xi - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \right) + \frac{x_1x_2}{2\beta} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{\beta} \right] \\ \times \exp \left[-\frac{6}{\beta} \left(\xi - \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \right) \right] \exp \left[-\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{2\beta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A27})$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to Dr. H. Pendleton for numerous clarifying discussions on these and related topics.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
2. P. F. Feynman, *Statistical Mechanics* (Benjamin, Reading, Mass., 1972).

3. E. P. Gross, *Sitges Seminar, Stochastic Processes* (Springer Verlag, 1978).
4. E. P. Gross, *J. Stat. Phys.* **17**:265 (1977).
5. W. H. Miller, *J. Chem. Phys.* **55**:3146 (1971); **58**:1664 (1972).
6. K. Symanzik, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**:1155 (1965).
7. E. Lieb, *Bull. AMS* **82**:751 (1976); *J. Math. Phys.* **8**:43 (1967).
8. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. IV. Operators of Analysis* (Academic Press, 1978).
9. W. Faris and B. Simon, *Duke Math. J.* **42**:559 (1975).